Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,394	HUSTED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Burd	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) Kevin M. Burd.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeanette Harms</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Kevin M. Burd/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/782,394

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was notified a new non-final rejection will be mailed regarding this application. A first action final rejection was mailed on 4/18/2008. The finality of this office action was improper since an advisory action mailed 1/11/2008 indicated the amendment submitted after final raised new issues and would require further consideration and/or search. This non-final office action contains identical remarks as stated in the final office action mailed 4/18/2008 but corrects the status of the application.